

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA648010**

Filing date: **01/02/2015**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91218997
Party	Defendant T.D.C. USA, INC.
Correspondence Address	MITCHELL P. NOVICK LAW OFFICES OF MITCHELL P. NOVICK 623 EAGLE ROCK AVE STE 407 WEST ORANGE, NJ 07052-2948 mnovick@mitchellnovick.com, nhyman@mitchellnovick.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Mitchell P. Novick, Esq.
Filer's e-mail	mnovick@mitchellnovick.com, nhyman@mitchellnovick.com
Signature	/mitchell p. novick/
Date	01/02/2015
Attachments	1795oppo.ans.pdf(56519 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In the Matter of Application Serial No. 86/241,729 Published: August 26, 2014 Mark: LYONSCOTT	Opposition No. 91218997
LYLE & SCOTT LIMITED, Opposer, -vs- T.D.C. USA, INC., Applicant.	ANSWER TO AMENDED NOTICE OF OPPOSITION

Applicant, T.D.C. USA, INC., with a mailing address of 14 Madison Road, Fairfield, New Jersey 07004, through its attorney, answers the Amended Notice Of Opposition by the Opposer, LYLE & SCOTT LIMITED, dated October 23, as follows:

1. Applicant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 1.
2. Applicant has insufficient knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 2, and therefore denies same.
3. Applicant has insufficient knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in

Paragraph 3, and therefore denies same.

4. Applicant has insufficient knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 4, and therefore denies same.
5. Applicant has insufficient knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 5, and therefore denies same.
6. Applicant has insufficient knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 6, and therefore denies same.
7. Applicant has insufficient knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 7, and therefore denies same.
8. Applicant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 8.
9. Applicant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 9.
10. Applicant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 10.
11. Applicant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 11.
12. Applicant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 12.

13. Applicant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 13.

WHEREFORE, Applicant requests that Opposer's Amended Notice Of Opposition dated October 23, 2014 be denied and dismissed in its entirety and that Applicant's registration be granted.

Dated: January 2, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF MITCHELL P. NOVICK

By: /mitchell p. novick, esq./
MITCHELL P. NOVICK, ESQ.

Counsel for Applicant
T.D.C. USA, INC.
623 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 407
West Orange, NJ 07052
Phone: 973/744-5150
Fax: 973/744-2227
Email: mnovick@mitchellnovick.com

1693-1795

Answer To Amended Notice Of Opposition

Opposition No. 91218997

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, MITCHELL P. NOVICK, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Answer To Amended Notice Of Opposition was served upon the attorney of record for the Opposer by first-class mail, postage-prepaid to the following address, on the undersigned date:

Susan B. Flohr, Esq.
BLANK ROME LLP
Counsel for Opposer
600 New Hampshire Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Email: flohr@blankrome.com

Dated: January 2, 2014

/mitchell p. novick/
MITCHELL P. NOVICK